(62)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 1527 of 2007

Single Bench:Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Petitioner	:	Smt. Amrika Bai, W/o Shri Ishwer Prasad aged about 21 years, Caste by Satnami, R/o Village Nersinghpur, Post Dullapur P.S. & Tahesil - Pandariya, Distt. Kabirdham (Kawerdha) (C.G.)
	Versus	
Respondents		1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of women & Child Development D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.)
		2. The Collector, Kabirdham (Kawerdha) (C.G.)
		3. The Chief Executive officer, Janpad Panchayat Pandariya Distt-Bilaspur (C.G.)
		4. The Project Officer. Integrated woman & Child Development Scheme Pandariya, Distt- Kabirdham (Kawerdha) (C.G.)
		5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Nersingpur. Tahsil Pandariya Distt. Kabirdham (Kawerdha) (C.G.)
		6. Smt. Rekha Jaiswal W/o Shri Rajendra Jaiswal, R/o Village Nersinghpur Post Dullapur, P.S. & Tahsil Pandariya Distt Kabirdham (Kawerdha)

Present: Mr. Mirza Kaiser Baeg, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/ respondent No. 1, 2 and 4.

---

(63)

ORDER

(Passed on 16th Day of March, 2007)

1. According to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the objection of the respondent No. 6 with regard to appointment of the petitioner on the ground that her father-in-law was elected Panch of the Gram Panchayat Nersinghpur, Ward No. 22 and as such, the petitioner was disqualified is contrary to the fact. The father-in-law of the petitioner has resigned from the post of Panch of the Gram Panchayat Nersinghpur, Ward No. 22 vide letter dated 30-1-2006 (Annexure P/8), which was duly accepted.

2. The appointment of the petitioner had taken place in November, 2006. Thus, objection raised by the respondent No. 6 wan not correct.

3. Be that as it may, the Collector, Kabirdham (Kawerdha) is directed to look into all the allegations made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganbadi Scheme and law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The impugned order dated 26.12.2006 (Annexure P/1) is quashed.

4. The writ petition is accordingly allowed to the above extent. No order as to costs.

5. Consequently, I.A. No. 01/2007, an application for grant of interim relief stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash